

REMARKS

Claims 1, 2, 7, and 10-13 are pending in the application. Claims 1, 2 and 10-13 have been allowed and Claim 7 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112. Claim 7 has been amended for further clarification. Support for the amendments can be found in the specification as originally filed. No new matter has been added.


REJECTIONS UNDER 112

Claim 7 stands rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter. The rejection should be withdrawn in view of the amendment above and remarks below.

Claim 7 has been amended for further clarification to attend to the Examiner's rejection. Accordingly, Claim 7 should now be in condition for allowance. Withdrawal of this rejection is requested.

In view of the above amendments, Applicants submit that the claims are in condition for allowance and the Examiner would be justified in allowing them.

Respectfully submitted,

By 
Jill Denesvich
Attorney for Applicants
Reg. No. 52,810

February 24, 2006

LANXESS Corporation
Law & Intellectual Property Department
111 RIDC Park West Drive
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15205-9741
(412) 809-2232
FACSIMILE PHONE NUMBER:
(412) 809-1054

\mr

S:\Law Shared\SHARED\JD\PATENTS\6716\2-24-06 amend DUE 3-3-06 .doc